Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2017

Reporting For: 2016

Influent Flow and Loading

- 1. Monthly Average Flows and (C)BOD Loadings
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and (C)BOD loadings to your facility.

Outfall No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	х	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Concentration mg/L	х	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.0658	Х	293	Х	8.34	=	161
February	0.0653	Χ	239	Х	8.34	=	130
March	0.0681	Χ	268	Х	8.34	=	152
April	0.0652	Χ	285	Х	8.34	=	155
May	0.0632	Χ	316	Х	8.34	=	167
June	0.0627	Χ	319	Х	8.34	=	167
July	0.0617	Χ	346	Х	8.34	=	178
August	0.0627	Χ	346	Х	8.34	=	181
September	0.0644	Χ	350	Х	8.34	=	188
October	0.0670	Х	308	Х	8.34	=	172
November	0.0663	Х	317	Х	8.34	=	175
December	0.0662	Х	373	Х	8.34	=	206

- 2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design (C)BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	Х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	.116	Х	90	=	0.1044
		Х	100	=	.116
Design (C)BOD, lbs/day	290	Х	90	=	261
		Х	100	=	290

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and (C)BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	1				
	Months	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times
	of	flow was greater	flow was greater	(C)BOD was greater	(C)BOD was greater
	Influent	than 90% of	than 100% of	than 90% of design	than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	0	0
Points per ea	ach	2	1	3	2
Exceedances	ances 0		0	0	0
Points	0		0	0	0
Total Numb	er of Po	oints			0

O

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report Last Updated: Reporting For: **Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility** 6/2/2017 2016 3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) Yes 04/04/2016 O No If No, please explain: 4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? Yes o No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? o Yes No If Yes, please explain: 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Holding Tanks Septic Tanks **Grease Traps** o Yes o Yes o Yes No No No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks o Yes gallons No Holding Tanks o Yes gallons No Grease Traps o Yes gallons No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year? o Yes No If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/2/2017 2016

o Yes

No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/2/2017

2016

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

- 1. Effluent (C)BOD Results
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	90% of Permit Limit	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit	
	Limit (mg/L)	> 10 (mg/L)	3 (3 /	with a Limit		Exceedance	
January	15	13.5	6	1	0	0	
February	15	13.5			0	0	
March	15	13.5	10	1	0	0	
April	15	13.5	10	1	0	0	
May	15	13.5	6	1	0	0	
June	15	13.5	2	1	0	0	
July	15	13.5	6	1	0	0	
August	15	13.5	8	1	0	0	
September	15	13.5	8	1	0	0	
October	15	13.5	5	1	0	0	
November	15	13.5	7	1	0	0	
December	15	13.5	10	1	0	0	
		* Eqi	uals limit if limit is	<= 10			
Months of d	ischarge/yr			12			
Points per e	ach exceedanc	7	3				
Exceedance	S		0	0			
Points							
Total numb	per of points					0	

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

_				
つ		Meter	Calibr	ation
_	F 1()\///	$1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1$	Cann	$A \cap C \cap C$

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

04/04/2016

O No

If No, please explain:

- 3. Treatment Problems
- 3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

We have been working with Agu Fix and our engineer Strand with our low SVI and F: M especially in the cold months and may have come with a product that seem to help last year.

- 4. Other Monitoring and Limits
- 4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals? o Yes

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated:	Reporting For
6/2/2017	2016

• No	
If Yes, please explain:	
4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test? O Yes	
• No	
If Yes, please explain:	
4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?	
o Yes	
o No	
● N/A	
Please explain unless not applicable:	
	П

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Last Updated: 6/2/2017

Reporting For: 2016

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	90% of Permit Limit	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit
	Limit (mg/L)	>10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance
January	20	18	6	1	0	0
February	20	18	6	1	0	0
March	20	18	9	1	0	0
April	20	18	12	1	0	0
May	20	18	6	1	0	0
June	20	18	4	1	0	0
July	20	18	8	1	0	0
August	20	18	12	1	0	0
September	20	18	9	1	0	0
October	20	18	6	1	0	0
November	20	18	8	1	0	0
December	20	18	10	1	0	0
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of D)ischarge/yr			12		
-		ance with 12	months of disch	arge:	7	3
Exceedance	S		0	0		
Points	0	0				
Total Num	ber of Points	·				0
NOTE E						

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	А

О

Last Updated:

Reporting For:

6/2/2017 2016

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly	
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit	
	NH3	NH3	Average		Average		Average	Average	Limit	
	Limit	Limit	NH3	Exceed	for Week	for Week	for Week	for Week	Exceed	
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1	2	3	4	ance	
January	6.5		.0683333	33 0						
February	6.5		.1091666	6 7 O						
March	6.5		.0993333	33 0						$\ $
April	6.9		.125	0						
May	3.7		.1269230	77 0						$\ $
June	3.7		.1235714	29 0						$\ $
July	3.7		.1383333	33 0						
August	3.7		.3114285	71 0						
September	3.7		.2115384	62 0						11
October	3.7		.11	0						11
November	6.5		.1415384	62 0						
December	6.5		.09	0						
Points per e	ach excee	dance of M	Monthly av	/erage:					10	
Exceedance	s, Monthly	/ :							0	
Points:									0	
Points per e	ach excee	dance of v	weekly ave	erage (wh	en there is	s no month	nly averge):	2.5	
Exceedance	s, Weekly	:							0	
Points:									0	
Total Num	ber of Po	ints							0	
	otal Namber of Folits									

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for mothly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. 1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated						
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100					
Section Grade	Α					

O

Last Updated: 6/2/2017

Reporting For: 2016

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance
January	7.9	4.4	1	0
February	7.9	4.9	1	0
March	7.9	4.8	1	0
April	7.9	4.9	1	0
May	7.9	7.1	1	0
June	7.9	8.0	1	1
July	7.9	6.9	1	0
August	7.9	6.9	1	0
September	7.9	7.6	1	0
October	7.9	2.1	1	0
November	7.9	3.8	1	0
December	7.9	3.9	1	0
Months of Discharg	je/yr		12	
Points per each e	10			
Exceedances	1			
Total Number of	Points		_	10

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Phosphorus compliance planning, currently underway. Biological and chemical phosphorus removal within the next 5-10 years.

Total Points Generated					
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	90				
Section Grade	В				

10

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
6/2/2017 2016

Biosolids Quality and Management

1. Biosolids Use/Disposal	
1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)	
☐ Land applied under your permit	
☐ Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids	
☐ Hauled to another permitted facility	
☐ Landfilled	
☐ Incinerated	
☐ Other	
NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such	
as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc.	
1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:	

3. Biosolids Metals

Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit:

3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year.

Outfall No. 003 - SLUDGE																		
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75									<19					0	0
Cadmium		39	85									<1.3					0	0
Copper		1500	4300									1110					0	0
Lead		300	840									35.1					0	0
Mercury		17	57									<.022					0	0
Molybdenum	60		75									7.2				0		0
Nickel	336		420									<8.2				0		0
Selenium	80		100									< 47				0		0
Zinc		2800	7500									743					0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1-2 (10 Points)
- 0 > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- o Yes
- O No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- O N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1 (10 Points)
- 0 > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- o Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility

6/2/2017 2016 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified? 0 6. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site? • >= 180 days (0 Points) o 150 - 179 days (10 Points) 0 120 - 149 days (20 Points) 0 90 - 119 days (30 Points) 0 < 90 days (40 Points)</pre> O N/A (O Points) 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why. 7. Issues 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:

Last Updated: Reporting For:

Total Points Generated						
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)						
Section Grade	Α					

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility

6/2/2017

Last Updated: Reporting For: 2016

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

 1. Plant Staffing 1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year? Yes No If No, please explain: Could use more help/staff for: 1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping? Yes 	
o No If No, please explain:	
2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items? ■ Yes (Continue with question 2) ○ No (40 points) If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
 2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment? Yes No (10 points) 2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly? 	Ο
 Yes Paper file system Computer system Both paper and computer system No (10 points) 	
 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed? Yes No 	
 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. O Excellent Very good O Good O Fair O Poor Describe your rating: We have a good maintenance program in place. 	

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	6/2/2017	2016

Total Points Generated					
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100				
Section Grade	А				

4. Continuing Education Credits

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/2/2017 2016 Operator Certification and Education 1. Operator-In-Charge 1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year? • Yes (0 points) O No (20 points) Name: \cap LEIF T SPILDE Certification No: 23236 2. Certification Requirements 2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.56 and 114.57, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what level and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge (OIC) to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what level and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge? SubClass Description **WWTP** OIC Sub Class Basic OIT Basic Advanced Suspended Growth Processes Χ Χ Α1 A2 **Attached Growth Processes A3** Recirculating Media Filters **A4** Ponds, Lagoons and Natural **A5** Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid Solids Separation В Χ Χ 0С Biological Solids/Sludges Χ Χ Ρ **Total Phosphorus** Χ Ν Total Nitrogen D Disinfection L Laboratory Χ U **Unique Treatment Systems** SS Sanitary Sewage Collection Χ NA NA 2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS, N and A5 not required in 2016; subclass SS is basic level only.) • Yes (0 points) O No (20 points) 3. Succession Planning 3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)? ☑ One or more additional certified operators on staff ☐ An arrangement with another certified operator ☐ An arrangement with another community with a certified operator An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year ☐ A consultant to serve as your certified operator ☐ None of the above (20 points) If "None of the above" is selected, please explain:

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility

6/2/2017

Last Updated: Reporting For: 2016

4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates?

OIT and Basic Certification:

• Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.

• Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.

Advanced Certification:

- O Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.
- O Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated					
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100				
Section Grade	Α				

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility

Brooklyn Wastewater 1	reatment Facility	6/2/2017	2016
Financial Managem	ent		
1. Provider of Financial Name:	Information Linda Kuhlman		
Telephone:	608-455-4201	(XXX) XXX-XXXX	
E-Mail Address (optional):	clerk@brooklynwi.gov		
treatment plant AND/OlYes (0 points)No (40 points)If No, please explain:	or other revenues sufficient to cover C		ter
	r Charge System or other revenue sounds		ised?
financial resources avai plant and/or collection s • Yes (0 points)	ecial account (e.g., CWFP required seg lable for repairing or replacing equipm system?		•
O No (40 points) REPLACEMENT FUNDS	[PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHA	LL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]	
3. Equipment Replacem	ent Funds ipment Replacement Fund last review nts) (20 points)		
3.2 Equipment Replace	mont Fund Activity		
	e Reported on Last Year's CMAR	\$ 305,314.3	 35
3.2.2 Adjustments - if	necessary (e.g. earned interest, awal of excess funds, increase	\$ 0.0	=
3.2.3 Adjusted January	1st Beginning Balance	\$ 305,314.35	
3.2.4 Additions to Fund	d (e.g. portion of User Fee,	+ \$ 4,258.54	

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: Reporting For 6/2/2017 2016			
	\$ 0.00			
3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year	\$ 309,572.89			
All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.				
3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major rep	pairs from 3.2.5 above.			
Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally base Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as need instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstructions.	led. Further calculation			
header in the left-side menu. 3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund a greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)? • Yes • No If No, please explain.	above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or			
Money was not physically transferred to account before December 3	31, 2016.			
 4. Future Planning 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning to new construction of your treatment facility or collection system? Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not alread No 				
Project Project Description #	Estimated Approximate Cost Construction Year			
Phosphorus compliance planning, currently underway, has determined the need capital upgrades in the specified timeframe. In the final compliance alternatives properly capital costs are projected to be approximately \$1 million to implement biological and chemical phosphorus removal within the next five to ten years. There would additional annual expenditures of approximately \$80,000 for increased O&M and water quality trading.	olan, Il be			
5. Financial Management General Comments				
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE				
6. Collection System6.1 Energy Usage6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy source	es:			
COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed				
Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations: 3				

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility

6/2/2017 2016 Electricity Consumed Natural Gas Consumed (therms) (kWh) 489 January February 452 450 March 443 April 423 May June 429 434 July 420 August September 450 October 462 November 420 December 470 Total 5,342 0 445 0 Average 6.1.2 Comments: 6.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply): ☐ Comminution or Screening ☐ Extended Shaft Pumps ☐ Flow Metering and Recording ☐ Pneumatic Pumping ■ SCADA System
 ■ System ☐ Self-Priming Pumps ☐ Variable Speed Drives ☐ Other: 6.2.2 Comments: 6.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? No o Yes Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:

Last Updated:

Reporting For:

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/2/2017 2016

6.4 Fu	uture	Eneray	Related	Equipment
--------	-------	--------	---------	-----------

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

N 1			_	
NO	ne	as	ΟŤ	now.

- 7. Treatment Facility
- 7.1 Energy Usage
- 7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	12,600	2.04	6,176	4.99	2,525	151
February	14,200	1.89	7,513	3.77	3,767	133
March	12,600	2.11	5,972	4.71	2,675	73
April	11,200	1.96	5,714	4.65	2,409	49
May	11,400	1.96	5,816	5.18	2,201	22
June	13,500	1.88	7,181	5.01	2,695	22
July	13,500	1.91	7,068	5.52	2,446	18
August	12,200	1.94	6,289	5.61	2,175	16
September	11,400	1.93	5,907	5.64	2,021	21
October	12,200	2.08	5,865	5.33	2,289	19
November	12,200	1.99	6,131	5.25	2,324	50
December	16,000	2.05	7,805	6.39	2,504	169
Total	153,000	23.74		62.05		743
Average	12,750	1.98	6,453	5.17	2,503	62

7.1.2 Comments:

7.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment
7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):
☐ Aerobic Digestion
☐ Anaerobic Digestion
☐ Biological Phosphorus Removal
☐ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
☑ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
☐ Effluent Pumping
☐ Fine Bubble Diffusers
☐ Mechanical Sludge Processing
☐ Nitrification
SCADA System Standard System Scandard System Standard System Scandard System
☐ UV Disinfection
☑ Variable Speed Drives
☐ Other:

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/2/2017 2016 7.2.2 Comments: 7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment 7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility? None as of now. 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? No o Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ☐ Flared Off ☐ Building Heat ☐ Process Heat ☐ Generate Electricity ☐ Other: 9. Energy Efficiency Study 9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? No o Yes ☐ Entire facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment: ☐ Part of the facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	6/2/2017	2016

Total Points Generated	40
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	60
Section Grade	F

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility
Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/2/2017 2016

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

 Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program 1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?
• Yes
o No
If No, explain:
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items
according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?
• Yes
o No (30 points)
O N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply) ☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
To provide courteous and quality service, while operating and maintaining water and sewer infrastructure while ensuring environmental regulatory compliance.
Did you accomplish them?
• Yes
o No
If No, explain:
☐ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]
Does this chapter of your CMOM include:
☑ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
☐ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
■ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
☑ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?
Ch 34:19
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 7-13-2015
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following: Private property inflow and infiltration
☑ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
☐ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
☐ Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as necessary
☑ Fat, oil and grease control
■ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance
☐ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:
□ Up-to-date sewer system map

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/2/2017 2016

information for O&M a	activities, investigation ne operation and main program ssment and correction te Provisions [NR 210.2 cedures are established em, including building	tenance activities (see question 2 below) 23 (4) (e)] d for the design, construction, and inspection of sewers and interceptor sewers on private	
☑ State Plumbing Code☑ Construction, Inspect		ds and/or local Municipal Code Requirements	
Others:	ion, and resting		
			1
☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	esponse Plan [NR 210.	23 (4) (f)]	7
Does your emergency re			0
☒ Responsible personne☒ Response order, timir	•	edures	
☑ Response order, tirm ☑ Public notification pro	•		
☐ Training	7.00013		
	protocols and implem	entation procedures	
Annual Self-Auditing of	•		
☐ Special Studies Last Ye	•	nat apply):	
☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/			
☐ Sewer System Evalua☐ Sewer Evaluation and	•	Plan (SECAP)	
☐ Lift Station Evaluation		Tidil (SEO/II)	
Others:	· r · ·		
2. Operation and Maintenai			1
2.1 Did your sanitary sewe	er collection system m	aintenance program include the following	
		and indicate the amount maintained.	
Cleaning	100		
Root removal	20	% of system/year	
Flow monitoring	100	% of system/year	
Smoke testing	0	% of system/year	
Sewer line televising	2	% of system/year	
Manhole			
inspections	100	% of system/year	
Lift station O&M	3	# per L.S./year	
Manhole rehabilitation	0	% of manholes rehabbed	
Mainline rehabilitation	0	% of sewer lines rehabbed	
Private sewer inspections	O	% of system/year	

Last Updated: Reporting For: **Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility** 6/2/2017 2016 Private sewer I/I % of private services removal River or water % of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained crossings Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below: 3. Performance Indicators 3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year. 36.5 Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches 43.84 Annual average precipitation (for your location) 7.26 Miles of sanitary sewer 3 Number of lift stations 5 Number of lift station failures Number of sewer pipe failures O Number of basement backup occurrences O Number of complaints .0651 Average daily flow in MGD (if available) .0681 Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available) Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available) 3.2 Performance ratios for the past year: 1.67 Lift station failures (failures/year) 0.00 Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr) 0.00 Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr) 0.00 Basement backups (number/sewer mile) 0.00 Complaints (number/sewer mile) 1.0 Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly: Annual Daily Avg) 0.0 Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly: Annual Daily Avg) 4. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OFERFLOWS REPORTED ** Date Location Cause **Estimated** Volume (MG) None reported ** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected. 5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I) 5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year? o Yes No If Yes, please describe: 5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year? o Yes

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	6/2/2017	2016
• No		
If Yes, please describe:		
5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous	years:	
In 2016 we didn't see any II.		
5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection sy	/stem?	
Use our CMOM program to monitor any II issues that may occur.		

Total Points Generated		
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100	
Section Grade	А	

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/2/2017

Reporting For: 2016

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0023485

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	А	4	3	12
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40
TSS	A	4	5	20
Ammonia	A	4	5	20
Phosphorus	В	3	3	9
Biosolids	A	4	5	20
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4
OpCert	A	4	1	4
Financial	F	0	1	0
Collection	А	4	3	12
TOTALS			37	141
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 3.81				

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Brooklyn Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/2/2017 2016 Resolution or Owner's Statement Name of Governing Body or Owner: Village of Brooklyn Date of Resolution or Action Taken: 6-12-2017 Resolution Number: 2017-05 Date of Submittal: ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F): Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = Phosphorus compliance planning is underway with capital costs to be implemented in the next 5 years. Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = Staffing: Grade = AOperator Certification: Grade = Financial Management: Grade = Debt ratio coverage is 109% (page 33 in audit report) and should be 110%. Rate structure will be analyzed to allow for debt ratio coverage to be in compliance. Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported) ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00) G.P.A. = 3.81